UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,794	03/13/2007	Herbert Brunner	12406-216US1 P2004,0241 U	2792
26161 7590 03/31/2011 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (BO) P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			SANDVIK, BENJAMIN P	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,794	BRUNNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BENJAMIN P. SANDVIK	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-18,20 and 22-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-18,20 and 22-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence and access applicant drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the confidence are the confidence and the confidence are the confidence and the confidence are th	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/28/10</u>.</li> </ol>	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 12/27/2011, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mazzochette, and Song (U.S. PG Pub 2002/0175621).

## Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 16 appears to be depending from claim 14, instead of the currently listed claim 15, because the claim refers to said adhesion promoting part. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15, 17, 18, 20, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al (U.S. PG Pub #2002/0175621), in view of Mazzochette et al (U.S. PG Pub #2004/0222433).

With respect to **claims 1, 7, and 10**, Song teaches having a base part comprising a connector body (Fig. 3B, 31), on which a connecting conductor

material (Fig. 3B, 34 and Paragraph 51) is disposed, and having a top part comprising a top body (Fig. 3B, 37), on which a reflector material is disposed, wherein said top body comprises a ceramic (Paragraph 37), wherein said connector body and said top body are preformed separately from each other (Paragraph 50) and said top body is disposed on said connector body, but does not teach that the top body is a reflector body, wherein the reflector body is coated with the reflector material.

Mazzochette teaches an LED device having a connector body (Fig. 3, 11), and a top body (Fig. 3, 17) that comprises a ceramic and is coated with a metal reflector material (Paragraph 32), and provided with a recess as part of a chip cavity and the reflector material is disposed on the a wall of the recess. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the top ceramic body of Song with a metal reflector material as taught by Mazzochette in order to improve the light output and focus by reflecting light off the walls of the top body (Paragraph 32).

With respect to **claim 2**, Song teaches that the base part and said reflector part are preformed separately from each other (Paragraph 50).

With respect to **claim 4**, Song teaches that the housing body contains aluminum nitride or aluminum oxide (Paragraph 38).

With respect to **claims 5 and 8**, Song teaches that the connecting conductor material (Paragraph 51) is different from said reflector material (Paragraph 32 of Mazzochette).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,794

Art Unit: 2826

With respect to **claim 6**, Song teaches that the connecting conductor material contains a metal (Paragraph 48).

With respect to **claim 9**, Song teaches that the housing body has a cavity in which said semiconductor chip is disposed.

Page 4

With respect to **claim 11**, Song does not teach a reflector material that is electrically insulated from said connecting conductor material. Mazzochette teaches a reflecting material (Fig. 3, 30/31 and Paragraph 32), and a connecting conductor material (Fig. 1A, 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to electrically insulate the reflector material of Song and Mazzochette in order to avoid electrically shorting the connecting conductors 13.

With respect to **claim 12**, Song teaches that an insulation part (Fig. 3B, 32) is disposed between said base part (Fig. 3B, 31) and said reflector part (Fig. 3B, 37).

With respect to **claim 13**, Song teaches that said insulation part is preformed separately from said base part and said reflector part (Paragraph 49).

With respect to **claim 15**, Song teaches an envelope (Fig. 3B, 36) disposed in the cavity and at least partially envelops the chip.

With respect to **claims 17 and 18**, Song teaches that said base part includes a heat sink (Fig. 4B, 18b) and wherein the heat sink is electrically insulated form the chip.

With respect to **claim 20**, Song teaches that the insulation part comprises a ceramic (Paragraph 49).

With respect to **claim 22**, Song teaches having a base part comprising a connector body (Fig. 3B, 31), on which a connecting conductor material (Fig. 3B, 34) is disposed, and having a top part comprising a top body (Fig. 3B, 37), on which a reflector material is disposed, wherein said top body comprises a ceramic (Paragraph 37), wherein said connector body and said top body are preformed separately from each other (Paragraph 50) and said top body is disposed on said connector body; and the housing body contains aluminum nitride or aluminum oxide (Paragraph 38), but does not teach that the top body is a reflector body, wherein the reflector body is coated with the reflector material.

Mazzochette teaches an LED device having a connector body (Fig. 3, 11), and a top body (Fig. 3, 17) that comprises a ceramic and is coated with a metal reflector material (Paragraph 32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the top ceramic body of Song with a metal reflector material as taught by Mazzochette in order to improve the light output and focus by reflecting light off the walls of the top body (Paragraph 32).

With respect to **claim 23**, Song teaches that the insulation part is adhesively attached to the base part and reflector part (in other words, the ceramic layers are adhered together).

With respect to **claim 24**, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594,596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear. As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

With respect to **claim 25**, Song teaches having a base part comprising a connector body (Fig. 3B, 31), on which a connecting conductor material (Fig. 3B, 34) is disposed, and having a top part comprising a top body (Fig. 3B, 37), on which a reflector material is disposed, wherein said top body comprises a ceramic (Paragraph 37), and an insulation part disposed between said base part and said top part (Fig. 3B, 32), but does not teach that the top body is a reflector body, wherein the reflector body is coated with the reflector material.

Mazzochette teaches an LED device having a connector body (Fig. 3, 11), and a top body (Fig. 3, 17) that comprises a ceramic and is coated with a metal

reflector material (Paragraph 32), and provided with a recess as part of a chip cavity and the reflector material is disposed on the a wall of the recess. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the top ceramic body of Song with a metal reflector material as taught by Mazzochette in order to improve the light output and focus by reflecting light off the walls of the top body (Paragraph 32).

With respect to **claim 26**, Song teaches that said housing body has a cavity (Fig. 3B, cavity for sealing material 36) in which said semiconductor chip is disposed and wherein said reflector body is provided with a recess, said recess is part of the cavity of the housing body and said reflector material is disposed on a wall of said recess.

With respect to **claim 27**, Song does not teach a reflector material that is electrically insulated from said connecting conductor material. Mazzochette teaches a reflecting material (Fig. 3, 30/31 and Paragraph 32), and a connecting conductor material (Fig. 1A, 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to electrically insulate the reflector material of Song and Mazzochette in order to avoid electrically shorting the connecting conductors 13.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song and Mazzochette, in view of Loh (U.S. PG Pub #2004/0041222).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,794 Page 8

Art Unit: 2826

With respect to **claims 14 and 16**, Song and Mazzochette do not teach an adhesion promoting part disposed on said base part and provided with a recess that is part of the cavity of the housing body. Loh teaches a housing body having a reflector body (Fig. 3, 42) and an adhesion promoting part (Fig. 3, 44) disposed on the housing body, and wherein an envelope material is arranged at the adhesion promoting part and adheres better than to the reflector part. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an adhesion promoting part on the housing body of Song and Mazzochette as taught by Loh in order to include a supporting portion for adhering a lens to the housing body (Paragraph 42).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN P. SANDVIK whose telephone number is (571)272-8446. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on 571-272-1236. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,794 Page 9

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ben P Sandvik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2826